

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alcassedan, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/567,607	09/08/2006	Dragan Petrovic	L7725.06103	2059	
52/89 7590 05/20/20099 Dickinson Wright PLLC James E. Ledbetter, Esq.			EXAMINER		
			DONADO, FRANK E		
International Se 1875 Eve Stree	quare t, N.W., Suite 1200	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
Washington, D		2617			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			05/20/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/567,607		PETROVIC ET AL.		
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	FRANK DONADO	2617		

	FRANK DONADO	2617	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 12 May 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPI	ICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.	
<ol> <li>M The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following i application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:</li> </ol>	the same day as filing a Notice of a eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavi al (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	date of the final rejection.		
b) A The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ar no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	n.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1,136(a). The date have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of exhaunder 37 CFR 1,17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (a) above, if checked. Any repty received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1,704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL.	ension and the corresponding amount of nortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropris nally set in the final Office e of the final rejection, e	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as ven if timely filed,
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMELINATION.</li> </ol>	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
AMENDMENTS			
<ol> <li>\( \)\) The proposed amendment(s) field after a final rejection, t.         <ul> <li>(a)</li> <li>\( \)\) They raise new issues that would require further core.</li> <li>\( \)\) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below.</li> <li>\( \)\) They are not deemed to place the application in bett application.</li> </ul> </li> </ol>	sideration and/or search (see NOT v);	ΓE below);	
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c		ected claims.	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1	l6 and 41.33(a)).		
<ol> <li>The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12</li> </ol>		mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).
<ol> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li> </ol>			
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		•	
7. \( \times \) for purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) \( \times \) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed to:  Claim(s) rejected to:  Claim(s) rejected: \( \frac{1.6 \) and \( \frac{39 \cdot 4.2}{2} \) Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		I be entered and an e:	planation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	al and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</li> </ol>	of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.
The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). ( 13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
/Rafael Pérez-Gutiérrez/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2617			

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The proposed amendment to claims 1. 19. 42, and 43 raises new issues because the scope of the previously examined independent claims have change and it would require further consideration and/or search.

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Regarding the potential Base Stations not including the selected Base Station continuing to receive packets even after the new serving Base Station has been selected. The function of the Base Station Controller of Yoshimura is stated explicitly to be a Base Station deletion function, where the deletion means the potential Base Station not including the selected Base Station do not continue to receive packets after the new serving Base Station has been selected. This is termed a "handover deleting operation". During a handover, the Base Station controller (control unit) informs the Base Station shat have not been chosen to be the serving Base Station not to continue communicating information to the Base Station Controller to avoid unnecessary overhead, indicating no additional/continued forwarding of packets to said control unit, as shown in the referenced columns for claim 1, namely Column 1, lines 24-29, Column 2, lines 24-32 and Column 5, lines 59-62, but especially in Column 1, lines 24-82 and where the Base Station Control rests free" an unchosen potential Base Station.